arXiv:1609.08257v1 [math.GT] 27 Sep 2016

Minimal charts of type $(3, 3)$

Teruo NAGASE and Akiko SHIMA

Abstract

Let Γ be a chart. For each label m, we denote by Γ_m the "subgraph" of Γ consisting of all the edges of label m and their vertices. Let Γ be a minimal chart of type $(m; 3, 3)$. That is, a minimal chart Γ has six white vertices, and both of $\Gamma_m \cap \Gamma_{m+1}$ and $\Gamma_{m+1} \cap \Gamma_{m+2}$ consist of three white vertices. Then Γ is C-move equivalent to a minimal chart containing a "subchart" representing a 2-twist spun trefoil or its "reflection".

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 57Q45; Secondary 57Q35.

Key Words and Phrases. 2-knot, chart, white vertex.

1 Introduction

Charts are oriented labeled graphs in a disk with three kinds of vertices called black vertices, crossings, and white vertices (see Section 2 for the precise definition of charts). Charts correspond to surface braids (see [4, Chapter 14] for the definition of surface braids). The closures of surface braids are embedded oriented closed surfaces in 4-space $\mathbb{R}^4$ (see [4, Chapter 23]). A *C-move* is a local modification between two charts in a disk. A C-move induces an ambient isotopy between the closures of the corresponding two surface braids. Two charts are said to be *C-move equivalent* if there exists a finite sequence of C-moves which modifies one of the two charts to the other. We will work in the PL category or smooth category. All submanifolds are assumed to be locally flat.

The 4-chart as shown in Fig. 1(a) represents a 2-twist spun trefoil. It is well known that the 2-knot is not a ribbon 2-knot. On the other hand, Hasegawa showed that if a non-ribbon chart representing a 2-knot is minimal, then the chart must possess at least six white vertices [2] where a minimal chart Γ means its complexity $(w(\Gamma), -f(\Gamma))$ is minimal among the charts C-move equivalent to the chart Γ with respect to the lexicographic order of pairs of integers, $w(\Gamma)$ is the number of white vertices in Γ, and $f(\Gamma)$ is the number of free edges in Γ. Nagase, Ochiai, and Shima showed that there does not exist a minimal chart with five white vertices [9]. Nagase and Shima show that there does not exist a minimal chart with seven white vertices [5],[6],[7],[8]. Ishida, Nagase, and Shima showed that any minimal chart with exactly four white vertices is C-move equivalent to a chart in two kinds of classes [3].

Two charts are said to be *lor-equivalent* (Label-Orientation-Reflection equivalent) provided that one of the charts is obtained from the other by a finite sequence of the following five modifications:



Figure 1: Charts contained in the lor-family of the 4-chart describing the 2-twist spun trefoil. Here k is a positive integer.

(i) consider an n-chart as an $(n+1)$-chart,

(ii) for an n-chart, change all the edges of label k to ones of label $n-k$ for each $k = 1, 2, \cdots, n-1$, simultaneously (see Fig. 1(b)),

(iii) add a positive constant integer k to all the labels simultaneously (so that the n-chart changes to an $(n+k)$-chart) (see Fig. 1(c)),

(iv) reverse the orientation of all the edges (see Fig. 1(d)),

(v) change a chart by the reflection in the sphere (see Fig. 1(e)).

The set of all the charts lor-equivalent to a chart Γ is called the *lor-family of* Γ. For example, the lor-family of the 4-chart describing the 2-twist spun trefoil contains the charts as shown in Fig. 1.

Let Γ be a chart. For each label m, we denote by Γ_m the "subgraph" of Γ consisting of all the edges of label m and their vertices.

A chart Γ is of *type* $(m; n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k)$ or of *type* $(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k)$ briefly if it satisfies the following three conditions:

(i) For each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, the chart Γ contains exactly n_i white vertices in $\Gamma_{m+i-1} \cap \Gamma_{m+i}$.

(ii) If $i < 0$ or $i > k$, then Γ_{m+i} does not contain any white vertices.

(iii) Both of the two subgraphs Γ_m and Γ_{m+k} contain at least one white vertex.

Note that $n_1 \geq 1$ and $n_k \geq 1$ by the condition (iii).

In this paper we shall show the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1 *Let Γ be a minimal chart of type $(3,3)$. Then Γ is C-move equivalent to a minimal chart containing a subchart in the lor-family of the 2-twist spun trefoil.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we define charts. In Section 3, we review a useful lemma for a lens, a disk whose boundary consists of an edge of label m and an edge of label $m+1$ satisfying some condition. And we review a useful lemma for a loop. In Section 4, we review a k-angled disk, a disk whose boundary consists of edges of label m and contains exactly k white vertices. In particular, we prove a lemma for a 2-angled disk. In Section 5, we review three lemmata for a 3-angled disk. In Section 6, we introduce some property of charts. In Section 7, we prove a useful lemma called New Disk Lemma. And we give genelaizations of a C-I-M3 move and a C-I-M4 move. In Section 8, we give four examples of charts of type $(3,3)$. Three of them are non-minimal charts, the last one is a minimal chart C-move equivalent to a chart containing a subchart in the lor-family of the 2-twist spun trefoil. In Section 9, we prove Main Theorem (Theorem 1.1).

2 Preliminaries

Let n be a positive integer. An *n-chart* is an oriented labeled graph in a disk, which may be empty or have closed edges without vertices, called *hoops*, satisfying the following four conditions:

(i) Every vertex has degree 1, 4, or 6.

(ii) The labels of edges are in $\{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$.

(iii) In a small neighborhood of each vertex of degree 6, there are six short arcs, three consecutive arcs are oriented inward and the other three are outward, and these six are labeled i and $i+1$ alternately for some i, where the orientation and the label of each arc are inherited from the edge containing the arc.

(iv) For each vertex of degree 4, diagonal edges have the same label and are oriented coherently, and the labels i and j of the diagonals satisfy $|i-j| > 1$.

We call a vertex of degree 1 a *black vertex,* a vertex of degree 4 a *crossing*, and a vertex of degree 6 a *white vertex* respectively (see Fig. 2). Among six short arcs in a small neighborhood of a white vertex, a central arc of each three consecutive arcs oriented inward or outward is called a *middle arc* at the white vertex (see Fig. 2(c)). There are two middle arcs in a small neighborhood of each white vertex.

Now *C-moves* are local modifications of charts in a disk as shown in Fig. 3 (see [1], [4], [10] for the precise definition). These C-moves as shown in Fig. 3 are examples of C-moves.



Figure 2: (a) A black vertex. (b) A crossing. (c) A white vertex.



Figure 3: For the C-III move, the edge containing the black vertex does not contain a middle arc in the left figure.

We showed the difference of a chart in a disk and in a 2-sphere (see [5, Lemma 2.1]). This lemma follows from that there exists a natural one-to-one correspondence between {charts in S^2}/C-moves and {charts in D^2}/C-moves, conjugations ([4, Chapter 23 and Chapter 25]). To make the argument simple, we assume that the charts lie on the 2-sphere instead of the disk.

Assumption 1 *In this paper, all charts are contained in the 2-sphere S^2.*

We have the special point in the 2-sphere S^2, called the point at infinity, denoted by ∞. In this paper, all charts are contained in a disk such that the disk does not contain the point at infinity ∞.

Let Γ be a chart. An edge of Γ is the closure of a connected component of the set obtained by taking out all of white vertices and crossings from Γ. For each label m, we denote by Γ_m the "subgraph" of Γ consisting of all the edges of label m and their vertices. We assume

an *edge* of Γ_m is the closure of a connected component of the set obtained by taking out all the white vertices from Γ_m.

Thus

any vertex of Γ_m is a black vertex or a white vertex. Hence any crossing of Γ is not considered as a vertex of Γ_m. In this paper, an edge of label m means an edge of Γ.

Let Γ be a chart, and m a label of Γ. A *hoop* is a closed edge of Γ without vertices (hence without crossings, neither). A *ring* is a closed edge of Γ_m containing a crossing but not containing any white vertices. Let e be an edge of Γ or Γ_m. The edge e is called a *free edge* if it has two black vertices. The edge e is called a *terminal edge* if it has a white vertex and a black vertex. The edge e is a *loop* if it is a closed edge with only one white vertex. Note that free edges, terminal edges, and loops may contain crossings of Γ.

A hoop or a ring is said to be *simple* if one of the two complementary domains of the curve does not contain any white vertices.

We can assume that all minimal charts Γ satisfy the following five conditions (see [5],[6],[7]):

Assumption 2 *No terminal edge of Γ_m contains a crossing. Hence any terminal edge of Γ_m contains a middle arc.*

Assumption 3 *No free edge of Γ_m contains a crossing. Hence any free edge of Γ_m is a free edge of Γ.*

Assumption 4 *All free edges and simple hoops in Γ are moved into a small neighborhood U_∞ of the point at infinity ∞. Hence we assume that Γ does not contain free edges nor simple hoops, otherwise mentioned.*

Assumption 5 *Each complementary domain of any ring and hoop must contain at least one white vertex.*

Assumption 6 *The point at infinity ∞ is moved in any complementary domain of Γ.*

In this paper for a set X in a space we denote the interior of X, the boundary of X and the closure of X by $\mathrm{Int}X$, ∂X and $Cl(X)$ respectively.

3 Lenses and loops

Let Γ be a chart. Let D be a disk such that

(1) ∂D consists of an edge e_1 of Γ_m and an edge e_2 of Γ_{m+1}, and

(2) any edge containing a white vertex in e_1 does not intersect the open disk $\mathrm{Int}D$.

Note that ∂D may contain crossings. Let w_1 and w_2 be the white vertices in e_1. If the disk D satisfies one of the following conditions, then D is called *a lens of type* $(m, m+1)$ (see Fig. 4):

(i) Neither e_1 nor e_2 contains a middle arc.

(ii) One of the two edges e_1 and e_2 contains middle arcs at both white vertices w_1 and w_2 simultaneously.



Figure 4:

Lemma 3.1 ([5, Theorem 1.1] and [6, Corollary 1.3]) *Let* Γ *be a minimal chart. Then we have the following:*

(a) *There exist at least three white vertices in the interior of any lens.*

(b) *If* Γ *contains at most seven white vertices, then there is no lens of* Γ.

Let X be a set in a chart Γ. Let

$$w(X) = \text{the number of white vertices in } X,$$

$$c(X) = \text{the number of crossings in } X.$$

Let ℓ be a loop of label m in a chart Γ. Let e be the edge of Γ_m containing the white vertex in ℓ with $e \neq \ell$. Then the loop ℓ bounds two disks on the 2-sphere. One of the two disks does not contain the edge e. The disk is called *the associated disk of the loop* ℓ (see Fig. 5).



Figure 5: The gray region is the associated disk of a loop ℓ.

Lemma 3.2 ([6, Lemma 4.2]) *Let* Γ *be a minimal chart with a loop* ℓ *of label* m *with the associated disk* D. *Let* ε *be the integer in* $\{+1, -1\}$ *such that the white vertex in* ℓ *is in* $\Gamma_{m+\varepsilon}$. *Then* $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int} D) \geq 2$ *and* $w(\Gamma \cap (S^2 - D)) \geq 2$.

Lemma 3.3 (c.f. [6, Theorem 1.4]) *Let Γ be a minimal chart with $w(\Gamma) = 6$. If Γ contains a loop, then Γ is of type $(2, 4)$ or $(4, 2)$.*

In our argument we often construct a chart Γ. On the construction of a chart Γ, for a white vertex w, among the three edges of Γ_m containing w, if we have specified two edges and if the last edge of Γ_m containing w contains a black vertex (see Fig. 6(a) and (b)), then we remove the edge containing the black vertex and put a black dot at the center of the white vertex as shown in Fig. 6(c).



Figure 6:

Lemma 3.4 (c.f. [7, Lemma 6.1 and Lemma 6.2]) *Let Γ be a minimal chart. Let G be a connected component of Γ_m.*

(a) *If $w(G) \geq 1$, then $w(G) \geq 2$.*

(b) *If $w(G) = 3$ and G does not contain any loop, then G is the subgraph as shown in Fig. 7.*



Figure 7:

Notation. We use the following notation:

In our argument, we often need a name for an unnamed edge by using a given edge and a given white vertex. For the convenience, we use the following naming: Let e', e_i, e'' be three consecutive edges containing a white vertex w_j. Here, the two edges e' and e'' are unnamed edges. There are six arcs in a neighborhood U of the white vertex w_j. If the three arcs $e' \cap U$, $e_i \cap U$, $e'' \cap U$ lie anticlockwise around the white vertex w_j in this order, then e' and e'' are denoted by a_{ij} and b_{ij} respectively (see Fig. 8). There is a possibility $a_{ij} = b_{ij}$ if they are contained in a loop.



Figure 8:

4 k-angled disks

Let Γ be a chart, m a label of Γ, D a disk, and k a positive integer. If ∂D consists of k edges of Γ_m, then D is called *a k-angled disk of* Γ_m. Note that the boundary ∂D may contain crossings, and each of two disks bounded by a loop of label m is a 1-angled disk of Γ_m.

Let Γ be a chart, and m a label of Γ. An edge of Γ_m is called a *feeler* of a k-angled disk D of Γ_m if the edge intersects $N - \partial D$ where N is a regular neighborhood of ∂D in D.

Let Γ be a chart. If an object consists of some edges of Γ, arcs in edges of Γ and arcs around white vertices, then the object is called *a pseudo chart*.

Lemma 4.1 ([6, Lemma 5.2] and [7, Theorem 1.1]) *Let Γ be a minimal chart, and m a label of Γ. Let D be a 2-angled disk of Γ_m without feelers. If $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int} D) = 0$, then a regular neighborhood of D contains the pseudo chart as shown in Fig. 9*(a).



Figure 9: The thick lines are edges of label m, and $\varepsilon \in \{+1, -1\}$.

Let Γ be a chart, and m a label of Γ. Let D be a k-angled disk of Γ_m, and G a pseudo chart in D with $\partial D \subset G$. Let $r : D \to D$ be a reflection of D, and G^* the pseudo chart obtained from G by changing the orientations of all of the edges. Then the set $\{G, G^*, r(G), r(G^*)\}$ is called the *RO-family of the pseudo chart G*.

Warning. To draw a pseudo chart in a RO-family, we draw a part of $\Gamma \cap N$ here N is a regular neighborhood of a k-angled disk D.

Lemma 4.2 (c.f. [6, Lemma 5.3, Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.5]) *Let Γ be a minimal chart, and m a label of Γ. Let D be a 2-angled disk of Γ_m without feelers. Let w_1 be a white vertex in ∂D, and ε the integer in $\{+1, -1\}$ with $w_1 \in \Gamma_{m+\varepsilon}$. Suppose that ∂D is oriented clockwise or anticlockwise. Then we have the following:*

(a) $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}D) \geq 1$.

(b) *If $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}D) = 1$, then D contains an element of the RO-family of the pseudo chart as shown in Fig. 9*(b).

(c) *If $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}D) = 2$ and $w(\Gamma_{m+\varepsilon} \cap \mathrm{Int}D) = 2$, then D contains an element of RO-families of the two pseudo charts as shown in Fig. 9*(c) *and* (d).

Proof. Let w_2 be the white vertex in ∂D different from w_1, and e_1, e_2 the edges of $\Gamma_{m+\varepsilon}$ in D containing w_1, w_2 respectively.

Suppose $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}D) = 0$. Since ∂D is oriented clockwise or anticlockwise, the edge e_1 is not middle at w_1. Thus the edge e_1 is not a terminal edge by Assumption 2. Since $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}D) = 0$, we have $e_1 \ni w_2$, i.e. $e_1 = e_2$. Hence the edge e_1 separates the disk D into two lenses each of which does not contain any white vertices in its interior. This contradicts Lemma 3.1(a). Hence $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}D) \geq 1$. Thus Statement (a) holds.

Suppose $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}D) = 1$. Similarly we can show that the edge e_1 is not a terminal edge and $e_1 \not\ni w_2$. Hence e_1 contains a white vertex in $\mathrm{Int}D$, say w_3. Similarly we can show that the edge e_2 is not a terminal edge and $e_2 \not\ni w_1$. Thus e_2 contains the white vertex w_3 in $\mathrm{Int}D$. Hence there exists a terminal edge of label $m+\varepsilon$ containing w_3. Thus the disk D contains the pseudo chart as shown in Fig. 9(b). Hence Statement (b) holds.

Suppose $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}D) = 2$ and $w(\Gamma_{m+\varepsilon} \cap \mathrm{Int}D) = 2$. Similarly we can show that neither e_1 nor e_2 is a terminal edge, and $e_1 \not\ni w_2$, $e_2 \not\ni w_1$. Let w_3 be the white vertex in $\mathrm{Int}D$ with $e_1 \ni w_3$. Let w_4 be the white vertex in $\mathrm{Int}D$ different from w_3.

If $e_2 \ni w_3$, then there exists a loop of label $m+\varepsilon$ containing w_4 bounding a disk E and $\mathrm{Int}E$ does not contains any white vertices. This contradicts Lemma 3.2. Thus $e_2 \not\ni w_3$. Since e_2 is not a terminal edge and since $e_2 \not\ni w_1$, we have $e_2 \ni w_4$.

There are three cases:

(1) both of w_3, w_4 are contained in loops of label $m + \varepsilon$,

(2) there exists an edge e_3 of of $\Gamma_{m+\varepsilon}$ containing w_3 and w_4, and both of w_3, w_4 are contained in terminal edges of label $m + \varepsilon$,

(3) there exists two edges e_3, e_4 of $\Gamma_{m+\varepsilon}$ containing w_3 and w_4.

Without loss of generality we can assume that e_1 is oriented from w_1 to w_3.

For **Case (1)**, the associated disk of each loops does not contain any white vertices in its interior. This contradicts Lemma 3.2. Hence Case (1) does not occur.

For **Case (2)**, the edge e_3 is oriented from w_4 to w_3 and the edge e_2 is oriented from w_4 to w_2. Hence D contains the pseudo chart as shown in Fig. 9(c).

For **Case (3)**, there exists a 2-angled disk E of $\Gamma_{m+\varepsilon}$ in D with $\partial E = e_3 \cup e_4$. Since E has no feelers, by Lemma 4.1 we have that either the two edges e_3, e_4 are oriented from w_3 to w_4, or the two edges e_3, e_4 are oriented from w_4 to w_3. Since e_1 is oriented from w_1 to w_3, the two edges e_3, e_4 are oriented from w_3 to w_4. Hence D contains the pseudo chart as shown in Fig. 9(d). Thus Statement (c) holds. □

5 3-angled disks

Lemma 5.1 ([7, Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.5]) *Let Γ be a minimal chart. Let D be a 3-angled disk of Γ_m without feelers. If $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}D) = 0$, then D contains an element in the RO-families of the two pseudo charts as shown in Fig. 10*(a) *and* (b).

Let Γ and Γ' be C-move equivalent charts. Suppose that a pseudo chart X of Γ is also a pseudo chart of Γ'. Then we say that Γ is modified to Γ' by *C-moves keeping X fixed*. In Fig. 11, we give examples of C-moves keeping pseudo charts fixed.

Let Γ be a minimal chart, and m a label of Γ. Let D be a k-angled disk of Γ_m. The pair of integers $(w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}D), c(\Gamma \cap \partial D))$ is called the *local complexity with respect to D*. Let $\mathbb{S}$ be the set of all minimal charts obtained from Γ by C-moves in a regular neighborhood of D keeping ∂D fixed. The chart Γ is said to be *locally minimal with respect to D* if its local complexity with respect to D is minimal among the charts in $\mathbb{S}$ with respect to the lexicographic order of pairs of integers.

Now for a chart Γ, a k-angled disk D of Γ_m is *special* provided that any feeler is a terminal edge where a feeler is an edge of Γ_m intersecting ∂D and $\mathrm{Int}D$.

Lemma 5.2 ([7, Theorem 1.2]) *Let Γ be a minimal chart. Let D be a special 3-angled disk of Γ_m such that Γ is locally minimal with respect to D. If $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}D) \leq 1$, then D contains an element in the RO-families of the eight pseudo charts as shown in Fig. 10.*

Lemma 5.3 (*Triangle Lemma*) ([8, Lemma 8.3]) *For a minimal chart Γ, if there exists a 3-angled disk D_1 of Γ_m without feelers in a disk D as shown in Fig. 12, then $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}D_1) \geq 1$.*



Figure 10: The 3-angled disks (g) and (h) have one feeler, the others do not have any feelers.

6 IO-Calculation

Let Γ be a chart, and v a vertex. Let α be a short arc of Γ in a small neighborhood of v with $v \in \partial\alpha$. If the arc α is oriented to v, then α is called *an inward arc*, and otherwise α is called *an outward arc*.

Let Γ be an n-chart. Let F be a closed domain with $\partial F \subset \Gamma_{k-1} \cup \Gamma_k \cup \Gamma_{k+1}$ for some label k of Γ, where $\Gamma_0 = \emptyset$ and $\Gamma_n = \emptyset$. By Condition (iii) for charts, in a small neighborhood of each white vertex, there are three inward arcs and three outward arcs. Also in a small neighborhood of each black vertex, there exists only one inward arc or one outward arc. We often use the following fact, when we fix (inward or outward) arcs near white vertices and black vertices:

> *The number of inward arcs contained in $F \cap \Gamma_k$ is equal to the number of outward arcs in $F \cap \Gamma_k$.*

When we use this fact, we say that we use *IO-Calculation with respect to Γ_k in F*. For example, in a minimal chart Γ of type $(m; 3, 3)$, consider the pseudo chart as shown in Fig. 13(a) and

(1) D_1 is the 2-angled disk of Γ_m with $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int} D_1) = 1$,



Figure 11: C-moves keeping thicken figures fixed.



Figure 12: The gray regions are 3-angled disks D_1. The thick lines are edges of label m, and $\varepsilon \in \{+1, -1\}$.

(2) D_3 is the 3-angled disks of Γ_m with $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}D_3) = 0$.

Let e be the edge of Γ_m oriented from w_3 to w_2. Let F be the 3-angled disk of Γ_{m+1} containing e with $\partial F \ni w_2, w_3, w_4$, and e_4 the terminal edge of label $m+1$ containing w_4. Then we can show that $e_4 \not\subset F$. For if not, then $e_4 \subset F$ (see Fig. 13(b)). Hence in F there are two edges of Γ_{m+2} containing w_4 but not middle at w_4. By Assumption 2 neither two edges are terminal edges. Since $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}F) = 0$, the number of inward arcs in $F \cap \Gamma_{m+2}$ is two, but the number of outward arcs in $F \cap \Gamma_{m+2}$ is zero. This is a contradiction. Instead of the above argument, we just say that

(3) *$e_4 \not\subset F$ by IO-Calculation with respect to Γ_{m+2} in F.*

7 C-I-M3 moves and C-I-M4 moves

Let e be an edge or a simple arc. Suppose that e is not a loop, a hoop nor a ring. Let v, v' be the two end points of e. Then we denote

$$\partial e = \{v, v'\}, \quad \mathrm{Int}e = e - \partial e.$$

Let Γ be a chart, and D a disk. Let α be a simple arc in ∂D. We call a simple arc γ in an edge of Γ_k a (D, α)-*arc* of label k provided that $\partial\gamma \subset \mathrm{Int}\alpha$ and $\mathrm{Int}\gamma \subset \mathrm{Int}D$. If there is no (D, α)-arc in Γ, then the chart Γ is said to be (D, α)-*arc free.*

Lemma 7.1 (c.f. [5, Lemma 3.2]) (*New Disk Lemma*) *Let Γ be a chart and D a disk whose interior does not contain a white vertex nor a black vertex*



Figure 13: The thick lines are edges of label $m+1$. (a) The light gray region is the disk D_1, the dark gray region is the disk D_3. (b) The gray region is the disk F.

of Γ. *Let* α *be a simple arc in* ∂D *such that* $\mathrm{Int}\alpha$ *does not contain a white vertex nor a black vertex of* Γ. *Let* V *be a regular neighborhood of* α. *Suppose that the arc* α *satisfies one of the following two conditions:*

(a) *The arc* α *is contained in an edge of* Γ_k *for some label* k *of* Γ.

(b) $\Gamma \cap \partial\alpha = \emptyset$ *and if an edge of* Γ *intersects the arc* α, *then the edge transversely intersects the arc* α.

Then by applying C-I-M2 moves, C-I-R2 moves, and C-I-R3 moves in V, *there exists a* (D,α)*-arc free chart* Γ' *obtained from the chart* Γ *keeping* α *fixed* (*see Fig. 14*).

Proof. **Case (a)**. We prove by induction on the number of (D,α)-arcs. Let n be the number of (D,α)-arcs. If $n=0$, then Γ is (D,α)-arc free. Suppose $n>0$. Then there exists a (D,α)-arc L such that the disk D_L bounded by L and an arc L_α in α contains no other (D,α)-arc. Now for a proper arc of D_L if the arc is in an edge and transversely intersects $\mathrm{Int}L_\alpha$, then it must intersect the arc L. Let s be the number of such arcs transversely intersecting $\mathrm{Int}L_\alpha$.

Let h be the label of L, and $\widetilde{L}$ the connected component of $\Gamma_h \cap (D \cup V)$ containing the arc L. If $s>0$, then by deforming $\widetilde{L}$ in V by C-I-R2 moves and C-I-R3 moves, we can push an end point of L near the other end point of L along L_α (see Fig. 14) so that we can assume that $s=0$.

By applying a C-I-M2 move and a C-I-R2 move, we can split the arc $\widetilde{L}$ to a ring (or a hoop) R and an arc L' to get a new chart Γ' with $(R\cup L')\cap\alpha=\emptyset$. Hence by induction we can assume that the chart is (D,α)-arc free.

Similarly we can show for **Case (b)**. □



Figure 14:

Lemma 7.2 ([7, Lemma 8.2]) *Let Γ be a chart, e an edge of Γ_m, and w_1, w_2 the white vertices in e. Suppose $w_1 \in \Gamma_{m-1}$ and $w_2 \in \Gamma_{m+1}$. Then for any neighborhood V of the edge e, there exists a chart Γ' obtained from the chart Γ by C-I-R2 moves, C-I-R3 moves and C-I-R4 moves in V keeping $\Gamma_{m-1} \cup \Gamma_m \cup \Gamma_{m+1}$ fixed such that the edge e does not contain any crossings.*

Let Γ be a chart and k a label of Γ. If a disk D satisfies the following two conditions, then D is called an *M4-disk of label k* (see Fig. 15(a)).

(i) ∂D consists of four edges e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 of Γ_k situated on ∂D in this order.

(ii) Set $w_1 = e_1 \cap e_4, w_2 = e_1 \cap e_2, w_3 = e_2 \cap e_3, w_4 = e_3 \cap e_4$. Then

  (a) $D \cap \Gamma_{k-1}$ consists of an edge e_5 connecting w_1 and w_3, and

  (b) $D \cap \Gamma_{k+1}$ consists of an edge e_6 connecting w_2 and w_4.

(iii) Int$D$ does not contain any white vertex.

We call the union $X = \cup_{i=1}^{6} e_i$ the *M4-pseudo chart* for the disk D, and $(w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4; e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4; e_5, e_6)$ the *fundamental information* for the M4-disk D.

Lemma 7.3 *Let Γ be a chart, and k a label of Γ. Suppose that D is an M4-disk of label k with an M4-pseudo chart X. Then by deforming Γ in a regular neighbourhood of D without increasing the complexity of Γ, the chart Γ is C-move equivalent to a chart Γ' with $D \cap (\cup_{i=k-2}^{k+2} \Gamma'_i) = X$.*

Proof. Let $(w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4; e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6)$ be the fundamental information for the M4-disk D. Then



Figure 15: (a) The gray region is the M4-disk. (b) The gray region is the M3-disk.

(1) Int$D$ does not contain any white vertex.

First of all, applying Lemma 7.2 for e_1, e_3 respectively keeping $\Gamma_{k-1} \cup \Gamma_k \cup \Gamma_{k+1}$ fixed, we can assume that

(2) neither e_1 nor e_3 contains any crossing.

Secondly, applying New Disk Lemma (Lemma 7.1) for $e_2, e_4 \subset \partial D$ respectively, the chart Γ is C-move equivalent to a chart Γ' deformed in regular neighbourhoods of e_2 and e_4 so that

(3) the chart Γ' is (D, e_2)-arc free and (D, e_4)-arc free.

By Statement (2) and Statement (3), for each label $i \neq k$, if an edge of Γ'_i intersects the edge e_2 (resp. e_4), then it must intersect the edge e_4 (resp. e_2). Since e_5 is of label $k-1$ and e_6 of label $k+1$, and since each of e_5 and e_6 separates the two edges e_2 and e_4 in the disk D, any edge of Γ'_{k-2} or Γ'_{k+2} does not intersect $e_2 \cup e_4$. Hence Statement (1) implies that each connected component of $D \cap \Gamma'_{k-2}$ and $D \cap \Gamma'_{k+2}$ is a simple hoop or a simple ring. Thus by Assumption 4 and Assumption 5, we have that $D \cap (\Gamma'_{k-2} \cup \Gamma'_{k+2}) = \emptyset$. Again by Assumption 4 and Assumption 5, we can assume that $D \cap (\Gamma'_{k-1} \cup \Gamma'_k \cup \Gamma'_{k+2})$ does not contain a hoop nor a ring. Hence we have $D \cap (\cup_{i=k-2}^{k+2} \Gamma'_i) = X$. Thus we have the result. □

Let Γ be a chart, k a label of Γ, and $\varepsilon \in \{+1, -1\}$. A disk D is called an *M3-disk of type* $(k, k+\varepsilon)$ provided that (see Fig. 15(b))

(i) ∂D consists of two edges e_1, e_3 of Γ_k,

(ii) $D \cap \Gamma_k = \partial D$,

(iii) $D \cap \Gamma_{k+\varepsilon}$ consists of an edge e_2 of $\Gamma_{k+\varepsilon}$ with $\partial e_2 = \partial e_1$,

(iv) Int$D$ does not contain a white vertex nor a black vertex.

We call the union $X = e_1 \cup e_2 \cup e_3$ the *M3-pseudo chart* for the disk D.

Similarly we can show the following lemma.

Lemma 7.4 *Let Γ be a chart, and k a label of Γ. Suppose that D is an M3-disk of type $(k, k+\varepsilon)$ with an M3-pseudo chart X. Then by deforming Γ in a regular neighbourhood of D without increasing the complexity of Γ, the chart Γ is C-move equivalent to a chart Γ' with $D \cap (\Gamma'_{k-\varepsilon} \cup \Gamma'_k \cup \Gamma'_{k+\varepsilon} \cup \Gamma'_{k+2\varepsilon}) = X$.*

8 Non-minimal charts with six white vertices

Lemma 8.1 *Let Γ be a chart with $w(\Gamma) = 6$. If Γ contains one of the three subcharts as shown in Fig. 16*(a), (b) *and* (c)*, then Γ is not minimal.*



Figure 16: The thick lines are edges of label $m+1$. (a) The gray region is the 4-angled disk E. (b) The gray region is the 6-angled disk E. (c) The gray region is the 3-angled disk E. (d) The gray region is the 6-angled disk E.

Proof. Suppose that Γ contains the subchart as shown in Fig. 16(a). We use notations in Fig. 16(a). Let E be the 4-angled disk containing the edge e with $\partial E \ni w_2, w_3, w_4, w_6$. Then the disk is an M4-disk of label $m+1$. Then by Lemma 7.3, we can apply a C-I-M4 move in a neighborhood of E, we obtain a chart containing a subchart as shown in Fig. 17(a). The terminal edge

containing w_2 is not middle at w_2. Applying a C-III move, we can eliminate the white vertex w_2. Hence Γ is not minimal.

Suppose that Γ contains the subchart as shown in Fig. 16(b). We use notations in Fig. 16(b), here e^*, e^{**} are the terminal edges of label $m+1$ containing w_3, w_6 respectively, e_1 the terminal edge of label m containing w_1. Let E be the 6-angled disk of Γ_{m+1} with $E \supset e^* \cup e^{**}$ but $E \not\supset e_1$, shown in Fig. 16(b). By Assumption 4 and Assumption 5, we can assume that E does not contain any free edges, hoops nor rings of label $m, m+1, m+2$. Let γ be a simple arc connecting two black vertices in e^* and e^{**} with $(\Gamma_m \cup \Gamma_{m+1} \cup \Gamma_{m+2}) \cap \mathrm{Int}\gamma = \emptyset$. Applying C-II moves and a C-I-M2 move along γ, we obtain a new free edge of label $m+1$. Hence Γ is not minimal.

Suppose that Γ contains the subchart as shown in Fig. 16(c). We use notations in Fig. 16(c), here e'_4 is the terminal edge of label $m+2$ containing w_4, and the edges e_2, e_3, e', e'', e''' are the edges of Γ_{m+1} with $\partial e_2 = \{w_2, w_5\}$, $\partial e_3 = \{w_3, w_6\}$, $\partial e' = \{w_2, w_4\}$, $\partial e'' = \{w_3, w_4\}$ and $\partial e''' = \{w_2, w_3\}$. Further $\widetilde{e}_1, \widetilde{e}_2, \widetilde{e}_3$ are the edges of Γ_{m+2} such that $\partial \widetilde{e}_1 = \{w_4, w_5\}$, $\partial \widetilde{e}_2 = \{w_4, w_6\}$, and $\widetilde{e}_3$ is oriented from w_6 to w_5.

Let E be the 3-angled disk of Γ_{m+2} bounded by $\widetilde{e}_1, \widetilde{e}_2, \widetilde{e}_3$ with $E \ni w_2, w_3$. Set $X = e' \cup e'' \cup e''' \cup e_2 \cup e_3 \cup e'_4 \cup \partial E$.

Now $(\Gamma_{m+1} \cup \Gamma_{m+2} \cup \Gamma_{m+3}) \cap E = X$. For, by applying Lemma 7.2 to e_2, e_3 respectively, we can assume that

(1) neither e_2 nor e_3 contains a crossing.

Now $\partial E \subset \Gamma_{m+2}$ implies that the closure of $(\Gamma_{m+1} \cup \Gamma_{m+2} \cup \Gamma_{m+3}) \cap E - X$ consists of hoops, and rings. In the disk E each of the hoops and the rings is simple by Statement (1). Hence by Assumption 4 and Assumption 5, we have that $(\Gamma_{m+1} \cup \Gamma_{m+2} \cup \Gamma_{m+3}) \cap E = X$.

Applying C-II moves, we can shift the black vertex of the terminal edge e'_4 near the edge e'''. Applying a C-III move, we obtain the chart as shown in Fig. 17(b).

Let F be the 4-angled disk of Γ_{m+1} as shown in Fig. 17(b). Then F is an M4-disk of label $m+1$. By Lemma 7.3, we can apply a C-I-M4 move for F so that we obtain the chart as shown in Fig. 17(c). The terminal edge of label $m+1$ containing w_4 is not middle at w_4. Thus by a C-III move, we obtain a minimal chart with six white vertices and a lens. This contradicts Lemma 3.1(b). Therefore Γ is not minimal. □

Lemma 8.2 *Let Γ be a minimal chart with $w(\Gamma) = 6$. If Γ contains the subchart as shown in Fig. 16*(d)*, then Γ is C-move equivalent to a minimal chart containing a subchart in the lor-family of the 2-twist spun trefoil.*

Proof. Let Γ be a minimal chart with $w(\Gamma) = 6$ containing the subchart as shown in Fig. 16(d). We use the notations in Fig. 16(d), here e^*, e_4 are the terminal edges of label $m+1$ containing w_3, w_4 respectively, e_1 is a terminal edge of label m containing w_1, the edges e'_4, e''_4 are the edges of Γ_{m+2} with



Figure 17: The thick lines are edges of label $m+1$. (b) The gray region is the 4-angled disk F. (c) The gray region is a lens of type $(m+1, m+2)$.

$\partial e'_4 = \{w_4, w_5\}$ and $\partial e''_4 = \{w_4, w_6\}$, the edge e is the edge of Γ_m oriented from w_3 to w_2, and e'_3 is the edge of Γ_m with $\partial e'_3 = \{w_1, w_3\}$. Let E be the 6-angled disk of Γ_{m+1} with $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}E) = 0$ and $E \supset e^* \cup e_4$ but $E \not\supset e_1$.

Set $X = (e \cup e'_3 \cup e^* \cup e_4 \cup e'_4 \cup e''_4) \cup \partial E$. Since $\partial E \supset \Gamma_{m+1}$, and since $\mathrm{Int}E$ contains no white vertex, each connected component of $Cl((\Gamma_m \cup \Gamma_{m+1} \cup \Gamma_{m+2}) \cap E - X)$ is a simple hoop or a simple ring. By Assumption 4 and Assumption 5, we have that $(\Gamma_m \cup \Gamma_{m+1} \cup \Gamma_{m+2}) \cap E = X$.

By C-II moves, we move the two black vertices in e^*, e_4 near the crossing $e \cap e'_4$. By a C-I-M1 move, we create a hoop of label $m+1$ near the crossing $e \cap e'_4$ (see Fig. 18(a)). Then we apply two C-III moves for the two terminal edges e^*, e_4, and then we obtain the chart as shown in Fig. 18(b). By Lemma 7.3, we can apply a C-I-M4 move for the 4-angled disk of Γ_{m+1} so that we obtain the chart as shown in Fig. 18(c).

Let E' be the 2-angled disk of Γ_m with $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}E') = 0$ and $\partial E' \ni w_2, w_4$. Then E' is an M3-disk of type $(m, m+1)$. Thus by Lemma 7.4 we can apply a C-I-M3 move for E' and then we obtain the chart with six white vertices as shown in Fig. 18(d). This chart contains a subchart in the lor-family of the 2-twist spun trefoil. □



Figure 18: The thick lines are edges of label $m+1$. (b) The gray region is the 4-angled disk of Γ_{m+1}. (c) The gray region is an M3-disk.

9 Proof of Main Theorem

In this section, we assume that

(1) Γ is a minimal chart of type $(m; 3, 3)$.

By Lemma 3.3, we can assume that

(2) Γ does not contain any loop.

Claim 1. The subgraph Γ_m contains a graph G as shown in Fig. 7.

Proof of Claim 1. First of all, we shall show $w(\Gamma_m) = 3$. Since Γ is of type $(m; 3, 3)$, we have that $w(\Gamma_m \cap \Gamma_{m+1}) = 3$ and $w(\Gamma_{m-1}) = 0$ by the conditions (i) and (ii) of type of a chart. Since any white vertex in Γ_m is contained in Γ_{m-1} or Γ_{m+1}, we have $w(\Gamma_m) = 3$.

Let G be a connected component of Γ_m with $w(G) \geq 1$. We shall show $w(G) = 3$. By Lemma 3.4(a), we have $w(G) \geq 2$. If $w(G) = 2$, then there exists a white vertex w in Γ_m with $w \notin G$. Since $w(G) = 2$ and $w(\Gamma_m) = 3$, the connected component of Γ_m containing w contains exactly one white vertex. This contradicts Lemma 3.4(a). Hence $w(G) = 3$. By Lemma 3.4(b),

the graph G is the graph as shown in Fig. 7. Thus Γ_m contains the graph G as shown in Fig. 7. □

The closures of connected components of $S^2 - G$ consists of three disks. Let e_1 be the terminal edge of label m. Let D_1 be one of the three disks with $D_1 \cap e_1 = \emptyset$, D_2 one of the three disks with $D_2 \supset e_1$, and D_3 the last one of the three disks (see Fig. 19(a)). Then D_1 is a 2-angled disk without feelers, D_2 is a 3-angled disk with one feeler, and D_3 is a 3-angled disk without feelers. Let w_1, w_2, w_3 be white vertices in Γ_m with $w_1 \in e_1$, and e the edge of Γ_m with $e = D_1 \cap D_3$. In this section we assume that

(3) Γ is locally minimal with respect to D_2,

(4) the terminal edge e_1 of label m is oriented from w_1,

(5) the edge e is oriented from w_3 to w_2,

(6) the point at infinity ∞ is contained in $\mathrm{Int}D_2$.

We use the notations shown in Fig. 19(a).



Figure 19: The thick lines are edges of label $m+1$. (a) The light gray region is the disk D_1, and the dark gray region is the disk D_3. (b) The gray region is the disk F.

Claim 2. $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}D_1) \geq 1$ and $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}D_2) \geq 1$.

Proof of Claim 2. Since ∂D_1 is oriented anticlockwise, by Lemma 4.2(a) we have $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}D_1) \geq 1$.

Since D_2 is a special 3-angled disk with one feeler, by Lemma 5.2 we have $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}D_2) \geq 1$. □

Let w_4, w_5, w_6 be white vertices in Γ_{m+2}. By Claim 2, without loss of generality we can assume that $w_4 \in \mathrm{Int}D_1$ and $w_5 \in \mathrm{Int}D_2$.

Claim 3. $w_6 \notin \mathrm{Int}D_1$.

Proof of Claim 3. Let e', e'' be the edges of Γ_{m+1} in D_1 containing w_2, w_3 respectively. Then both of e', e'' are oriented inward at white vertices on the boundary. Suppose $w_6 \in \mathrm{Int}D_1$. Then $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}D_1) = 2$ and $w(\Gamma_{m+1} \cap \mathrm{Int}D_1) = 2$. Thus by Lemma 4.2(c), the disk D_1 contains an element of RO-families of the two pseudo charts as shown in Fig. 9(c) and (d). In Fig. 9(c) and (d), there exists an edge of Γ_{m+1} oriented outward at the white vertex on the boundary. Hence e' or e'' is oriented outward at the white vertex on the boundary ∂D_1. This is a contradiction. Hence $w_6 \notin \mathrm{Int}D_1$. □

Claim 4. $w_6 \notin \mathrm{Int}D_2$.

Proof of Claim 4. Suppose $w_6 \in \mathrm{Int}D_2$. Then $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}D_1) = 1$, $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}D_2) = 2$ and $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}D_3) = 0$. By Lemma 4.2(b), the disk D_1 contains pseudo chart as shown in Fig. 9(b). By Lemma 5.1, the disk D_3 contains pseudo chart as shown in Fig. 10(b). Thus we have the pseudo chart as shown in Fig. 13(a).

Let e_4 be the terminal edge of label $m+1$ containing w_4. Let F be the 3-angled disk of Γ_{m+1} containing e with $\partial F \ni w_2, w_3, w_4$. Then $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}F) = 0$. Now we have the same situation in the example of IO-Calculation in Section 6. Thus we have $e_4 \not\subset F$ by IO-Calculation with respect to Γ_{m+2} in F (see Statement (3) in Section 6). Hence we have the pseudo chart in Fig. 19(b). We use the notations shown in Fig. 19(b).

Now e_2 is the edge of Γ_{m+1} in D_2 containing w_2. Then e_2 is not a terminal edge. For, if e_2 is a terminal edge, then the 3-angled disk F satisfies the condition in Lemma 5.3. Thus $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}F) \geq 1$. This contradicts the fact that $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}F) = 0$. Hence e_2 is not a terminal edge.

Let $F' = Cl(S^2 - F)$. Then F' is a 3-angled disk of Γ_{m+1}. We shall show $e_2 \not\ni w_3$. For, if $e_2 \ni w_3$, then the edge e_2 separates F' into two disks. One of the two disks contains the terminal edge e_4, say D. Let D' be the other disk. By IO-Calculation with respect to Γ_{m+2} in D, we have that D contains w_5 or w_6. Further, by IO-Calculation with respect to Γ_{m+1} in D', we have that D' contains w_5 or w_6. Without loss of generality we can assume that $w_5 \in D$ and $w_6 \in D'$. Thus $w(\Gamma_{m+2} \cap D') = 1$ and there exists a connected component of Γ_{m+2} containing exactly one white vertex w_6. This contradicts Lemma 3.4(a). Hence $e_2 \not\ni w_3$. Since $e_2 \neq a_{11}$ and $e_2 \neq b_{11}$ and since e_2 is not a terminal edge, we have $e_2 \ni w_5$ or $e_2 \ni w_6$. Without loss of generality we can assume $e_2 \ni w_5$.

Now e_3 is the edge of Γ_{m+1} in D_2 containing w_3. Since the edge e_3 is not middle at w_3, by Assumption 2 the edge e_3 is not a terminal edge. Hence there are four cases: (1) $e_3 = a_{11}$, (2) $e_3 = b_{11}$, (3) $e_3 \ni w_5$, (4) $e_3 \ni w_6$.

For **Case (1)**, there exists a lens of type $(m, m+1)$ whose boundary contains e_3. This contradicts Lemma 3.1(b). Hence Case (1) does not occur.

For **Case (2)**, we have $a_{11} \ni w_6$ because a_{11} is not middle at w_1. Hence there exists a loop of label $m+1$ containing w_6. This contradicts the fact that Γ does not contain any loop (see Statement (2) in this section). Hence Case (2) does not occur.

For **Case (3)**, let e_5 be the edge of Γ_{m+1} containing w_5 different from e_2 and e_3. Since e_2 is oriented from w_2 to w_5 and since e_3 is oriented from w_5 to w_3, the edge e_5 is not middle at w_5. By Assumption 2, the edge e_5 is not a terminal edge.

Let E be the 4-angled disk of Γ_{m+1} with $\partial E = e' \cup e'' \cup e_2 \cup e_3$ and $E \ni w_1$. If $e_5 \not\subset E$, then $w_6 \in e_5$, because e_5 is not a terminal edge. Thus there exists a loop of label $m+1$ containing w_6. This contradicts the fact that Γ does not contain any loop. Hence $e_5 \subset E$ (see Fig. 20(a)).

Let e''' be the edge of Γ_{m+1} with $\partial e''' = \{w_2, w_3\}$. Let E' be the 3-angled disk of Γ_{m+1} in E with $\partial E' = e_2 \cup e_3 \cup e'''$. By IO-Calculation with respect to Γ_{m+1} in E', we have $w_6 \in E' \subset E$. Thus $w(\Gamma \cap (S^2 - E)) = 0$. However, by IO-Calculation with respect to Γ_{m+2} in $Cl(S^2 - E)$, there exists at least one white vertex of Γ_{m+2} in $S^2 - E$. This is a contradiction. Hence Case (3) does not occur.

For **Case (4)**, let e'_2, e''_2 be edges of Γ_{m+1} containing w_5 different from e_2 such that e_2, e'_2, e''_2 lie clockwise around w_5 in this order. Let e'_3, e''_3 be edges of Γ_{m+1} containing w_6 different from e_3 such that e_3, e'_3, e''_3 lie clockwise around w_6 in this order (see Fig. 20(b)).

We can show that $a_{11} = e'_3$. If not, then there are three cases: (4-1) $a_{11} = e'_2$, (4-2) $a_{11} = e''_2$, (4-3) $a_{11} = e''_3$.

For **Case (4-1)**, there exists a loop of label $m+1$ containing w_6. This contradicts the fact that Γ does not contain any loop.

For **Case (4-2)**, the edge b_{11} is a terminal edge not middle at w_1. This contradicts Assumption 2.

For **Case (4-3)**, the edge e'_3 is a terminal edge not middle at w_6. This contradicts Assumption 2.

Hence we have $a_{11} = e'_3$. Similarly we can show that $b_{11} = e''_2$. Since the edge e''_3 is not middle at w_6, we have $e''_3 = e'_2$. Hence by the help of New Disk Lemma (Lemma 7.1), the chart Γ contains the subchart as shown in Fig. 16(c). By Lemma 8.1, the chart Γ is minimal. This is a contradiction. Hence Case (4) does not occur. Therefore we complete the proof of Claim 4. □

Proof of Main Theorem. Now we start from the pseudo chart as shown in Fig. 19(a). By Claim 2, Claim 3 and Claim 4, we have $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}D_1) = 1$, $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}D_2) = 1$ and $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int}D_3) = 1$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\mathrm{Int}D_1$ contains a white vertex w_4, $\mathrm{Int}D_2$ contains a white vertex w_5, $\mathrm{Int}D_3$ contains a white vertex w_6.

By Lemma 4.2(b), the disk D_1 contains the pseudo chart as shown in Fig. 9(b). Since D_2 is a special 3-angled disk with one feeler, by Lemma 5.2 the disk D_2 contains an element of RO-families of the two pseudo charts as shown in Fig. 10(g) and (h) (see Fig. 21(a) and (b)).

Suppose that Γ contains the pseudo chart as shown in Fig. 21(b). We use the notations shown in Fig. 21(b), here e is the edge of Γ_m oriented from w_3 to w_2, and e', e'', e''' are edges of Γ_{m+1} with $\partial e' = \{w_2, w_4\}$, $\partial e'' = \{w_3, w_4\}$ and $\partial e''' = \{w_2, w_3\}$. Let E_1, E_2 be the 3-angled disks of Γ_{m+1} with $E_1 \cap E_2 =$



Figure 20: The thick lines are edges of label $m+1$. (a) The gray region is the disk E.

$\partial E_1 = \partial E_2 = e' \cup e'' \cup e'''$ and $E_1 \supset e$. Let e_4 be the terminal edge of label $m+1$ containing w_4. By IO-Calculation with respect to Γ_{m+2} in E_2, we have $e_4 \not\subset E_2$. Thus $e_4 \subset E_1$ (see Fig. 21(c)).

Let D be the 2-angled disk of Γ_{m+1} in D_2 with $\partial D \ni w_1, w_5$ (see Fig. 21(c)). Let n_I be the number of inward arcs of label $m+2$ in $Cl(E_1 - D)$ and n_O the number of outward arcs of label $m+2$ in $Cl(E_1 - D)$. We shall count the numbers n_I and n_O as follows.

Assertion 1. There are two outward arcs of label $m+2$ containing w_5 in $Cl(E_1 - D)$.

For, the two edges e_5', e_5'' are edges of Γ_{m+2} containing w_5 in $Cl(E_1 - D)$ (see Fig. 21(c)). Since the two edges are oriented outward at w_5, there are two outward arcs of label $m+2$ containing w_5 in $Cl(E_1 - D)$.

Assertion 2. There are two inward arcs of label $m+2$ containing w_4 in $Cl(E_1 - D)$.

For, $e_4 \subset E_1$ implies that there are two edges e_4', e_4'' of Γ_{m+2} in $Cl(E_1 - D)$ containing w_4 (see Fig. 21(c)). Since the terminal edge e_4 is oriented inward at w_4, the two edges e_4', e_4'' are oriented inward at w_4. Thus there are two inward arcs of label $m+2$ containing w_4 in $Cl(E_1 - D)$.

Now for the white vertex $w_6 \in D_3 \subset Cl(E_1 - D)$, there are two cases:

(b-1) there is one inward arc of label $m+2$ containing w_6 and there are two outward arcs of label $m+2$ containing w_6,

(b-2) there is one outward arc of label $m+2$ containing w_6 and there are two inward arcs of label $m+2$ containing w_6.

For **Case (b-1)**, by Assumption 2 the white vertex w_6 is contained in at most one terminal edge of label $m+2$. Let e_6 be the edge of Γ_{m+2} middle at w_6.

Suppose that e_6 is a terminal edge. Then by Condition (b-1) the terminal edge e_6 is oriented inward at w_6. Thus



Figure 21: The thick lines are edges of label $m+1$. (b),(c) The dark gray region is the 3-angled disk E_2, and the light gray region is the 2-angled disk D.

(*) there exists an outward arc of label $m+2$ containing the black vertex in the terminal edge e_6.

Since none of e'_4, e''_4, e'_5, e''_5 contain middle arcs at w_4 or w_5, none of e'_4, e''_4, e'_5, e''_5 are terminal edges by Assumption 2. Thus the two edges e'_4, e''_4 contain w_5 or w_6, and the two edges e'_5, e''_5 contain w_4 or w_6. Hence by (*) and Assertion 1 and Assertion 2 we have $n_I = 3$ and $n_O = 5$. This is a contradiction by IO-Calculation with respect to Γ_{m+2} in $Cl(E_1 - D)$.

Similarly for the case that e_6 is not a terminal edge, we have $n_I = 3$ and $n_O = 4$. This is a contradiction. Hence Case (b-1) does not occur.

For **Case (b-2)**, in a similar way to Case (b-1), we have $n_I = 4$ or 5 and $n_O = 3$. This is a contradiction by IO-Calculation with respect to Γ_{m+2} in $Cl(E_1 - D)$. Hence Case (b-2) does not occur. Thus Γ does not contain the pseudo chart as shown in Fig. 21(b).

Suppose that Γ contains the pseudo chart as shown in Fig. 21(a). Since the disk D_3 is a 3-angled disk without feelers with $w(\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Int} D_3) = 1$, by Lemma 5.2 there are three cases:

(a-1) D_3 contains an element of the RO-family of the pseudo chart as shown in Fig. 10(c) (see Fig. 22(a)),

(a-2) D_3 contains an element of RO-family of the pseudo chart as shown in Fig. 10(d) (see Fig. 22(b)),

(a-3) D_3 contains an element of RO-family of the pseudo chart as shown in Fig. 10(e) (see Fig. 22(c)).

Let e_4 be the terminal edge of label $m+1$ containing w_4.

For **Case (a-1)**, let E be the 4-angled disk of Γ_{m+1} with $\partial E \ni w_2, w_3, w_4, w_6$ and $E \supset e$. By IO-Calculation with respect to Γ_{m+2} in E, we have $e_4 \not\subset E$. Thus by the help of New Disk Lemma (Lemma 7.1), the chart Γ contains the subchart as shown in Fig. 16(a). By Lemma 8.1, the chart Γ is not minimal. This is a contradiction. Hence Case (a-1) does not occur.

For **Case (a-2)**, let E be the 6-angled disk with $E \not\supset e_1$ and $E \supset e$. By IO-Calculation with respect to Γ_{m+2} in E, we have $e_4 \subset E$. Thus by the help of New Disk Lemma (Lemma 7.1), the chart Γ contains the subchart as shown in Fig. 16(d). By Lemma 8.2, the chart Γ is C-move equivalent to a minimal chart containing a subchart in the lor-family of the 2-twist spun trefoil as shown in Fig. 1.

For **Case (a-3)**, let E be the 6-angled disk with $E \not\supset e_1$ and $E \supset e$. By IO-Calculation with respect to Γ_{m+2} in E, we have $e_4 \not\subset E$. Thus by the help of New Disk Lemma (Lemma 7.1), the chart Γ contains the subchart as shown in Fig. 16(b). By Lemma 8.1, the chart Γ is not minimal. This is a contradiction. Hence Case (a-3) does not occur.

Therefore we complete the proof of Main Theorem. □

References

[1] J. S. Carter and M. Saito, "Knotted surfaces and their diagrams", Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 55, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (1998). MR1487374 (98m:57027)

[2] I. Hasegawa, *The lower bound of the w-indices of non-ribbon surface-links*, Osaka J. Math. **41** (2004), 891–909. MR2116344 (2005k:57045)

[3] S. Ishida, T. Nagase and A. Shima, *Minimal n-charts with four white vertices*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **20**, 689–711 (2011). MR2806339 (2012e:57044)

[4] S. Kamada, "Braid and Knot Theory in Dimension Four", Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 95, American Mathematical Society, (2002). MR1900979 (2003d:57050)

[5] T. Nagase and A. Shima, *Properties of minimal charts and their applications I*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **14** (2007), 69–97. MR2320385 (2008c:57040)



Figure 22: The thick lines are edges of label $m+1$ and the gray region is the disk E.

[6] T. Nagase and A. Shima, *Properties of minimal charts and their applications II*, Hiroshima Math. J. **39** (2009), 1–35. MR2499196 (2009k:57040)

[7] T. Nagase and A. Shima, *Properties of minimal charts and their applications III*, Tokyo J. Math. **33** (2010), 373–392. MR2779264 (2012a:57033)

[8] T. Nagase and A. Shima, *Properties of minimal charts and their applications IV: Loops*, arXiv:1603.04639.

[9] M. Ochiai, T. Nagase and A. Shima, *There exists no minimal n-chart with five white vertices*, Proc. Sch. Sci. TOKAI UNIV., 40 (2005), 1–18. MR2138333 (2006b:57035)

[10] K. Tanaka, *A Note on CI-moves*, Intelligence of Low Dimensional Topology 2006 Eds. J. Scott Carter *et al.* (2006), 307–314. MR2371740 (2009a:57017)

Teruo NAGASE
Tokai University
4-1-1 Kitakaname, Hiratuka
Kanagawa, 259-1292 Japan

nagase@keyaki.cc.u-tokai.ac.jp

Akiko SHIMA
Department of Mathematics,
Tokai University
4-1-1 Kitakaname, Hiratuka
Kanagawa, 259-1292 Japan
shima@keyaki.cc.u-tokai.ac.jp